<u>Court-II</u>

In the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, New Delhi

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

Appeal No.58 of 2014

Dated : 13th May, 2016

Present: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURENDRA KUMAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. T MUNIKRISHNAIAH, TECHNICAL MEMBER

In the Matter of:

M/s Jindal Power Ltd. Versus	Appellant(s)		
Central Electricity Regulatory	Commission	& Ors.	Respondent(s)
Counsel for the Appellant(s)	:		en, Sr. Adv. Singh, Mr. Matrugupta Is. Shikha Pandey
Counsel for the Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S. Vallina	dhyay, Sr. Adv. ayagam for R.2, PGCIL P. Pathak for R.3

ORDER

This is a part heard matter and most of the arguments have been completed. The main contention of the respondent is that due to surplus power there is no problem in allowing open access. The appeal is said to be infructuous by the learned counsel for the respondent. The fact whether the appeal has become infructuous is to be got cleared by the appellant for which he wants some time.

Post this matter for further arguments on <u>12th August, 2016.</u>

(T. Munikrishnaiah) Technical Member (J. Surendra Kumar) Judicial Member

sh/kt